

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. Dox 1450 Alexandria, Vuginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/884,466	06/20/2001	Arthur L. Herbst	58532-012	9630	
20277	7590 05/16/2003				
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY			EXAMINER		
	TREET, N.W. TON, DC 20005-3096		KIM, VIO	KIM, VICKIE Y	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1614 DATE MAILED: 05/16/2003	12	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

and the second s	Application No.	Applicant(s)
A 1	09/884,466	HERBST ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit
	Vickie Kim	1614
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ALLOWANCE. ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai CFR 1.704(b).	originally set in the final Office action; or ling date of the final rejection, even if
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		•
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> .		•
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme		\
10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	(a), -	
See Sommanon Street		
		Vickie Kim
		Patent examiner

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Newly amended claims changed the scope wherein new search and the further consideration are required since the previous rejection which is made based on the limitation which is now requested to be deleted, is now overcomed. Because the proposed amendment is requested after the prosecution, it will not entered due to the reasons mentioned immediately above.

Continuation of 10. Other: Request for the withdrawal of finality is carefully reconsidered. Applicant's argument is considered but is not persuasive because the new groud of rejection is necessitated by applicant's amendment filed August 21, 2002(paper no. 6) wherein the amendment changed the scope of claims. The office action (paper No. 5), 102 rejection(for independent claim) and 103 rejection(for dependet claims), is prepared based on Seibert reference as a primary reference in both rejections. However, Seibert reference is overcomed due to said amendment(paper no. 6). Since all the rejections in the office action (paper no. 8) is dependent on Seibert's reference and Seibert reference is overcomed, the 103 rejection(for dependent claims) had to be reformulated. Therefore, finality was proper and necessitated by applicant's amendent. And thus, finality is maintained.